## GUIDANT

November 29, 1999

593**3** \*99 NOV **3**0 At0 :41

Dockets Management Branch, Division of Management Systems and Policy Office of Human Resources and Management Services Food and Drug Administration 5630 Fishers lane, Room 1061 (HFA-305) Rockville, MD 20852

RE: Docket No. 99D-2873

Comments on Evidence Models for the Least Burdensome Means to Market Draft Guidance

## Dear Sir/Madam:

On behalf of Guidant Corporation, Vascular Intervention Group, provided below are comments regarding the Guidance for Industry and FDA Reviewers on Evidence Models for the Least Burdensome Means to Market.

Guidant Corporation, Vascular Intervention Group, is in general agreement with the comments provided by the Health Industry Manufacturers Association (HIMA) and with the comments provided by Guidant Corporation, Cardiac Rhythm Management division.

Furthermore, Guidant Corporation, Vascular Intervention Group, would like to add the following comments:

## **General principles**

The second principle listed advises FDA reviewers and sponsors to apply guidance documents and standards of identity consistently, and identify the types of data that constitute **valid scientific** evidence. Sponsors would gladly apply guidance documents and standards in support of product submissions. The responsibility to ensure consistent application across all product submissions remains with the FDA. In addition there must be consistent interpretation of guidance documents and standards within the branches of FDA.

As listed in the fifth principle it is important that FDA and sponsors maintain open communication, especially with respect to determining the least burdensome means for evaluating specific medical device submissions. A guidance document, such as this one, will be the basis for that decision-making process.





## **FDA Model**

Guidant Corporation, Vascular Intervention Group, disagrees with the approach described in this section. Under Question #2, we do not believe that the alternative question that can be asked regarding randomized controlled trials (RCT) is appropriate. Although it could be true that an RCT is the least burdensome means to provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness for certain devices, this is not the appropriate starting point for a discussion of this type. It is very important that a guidance document describing the process for obtaining the least burdensome means of obtaining safety and effectiveness information does not have the "most" burdensome method as a starting point. Although it could be argued that the lowest level of burden cannot be used to arrive at a least burdensome approach, this certainly must be considered.

An alternative is to begin a discussion of a least burdensome approach with the "Points to Consider" listed under each "Question" of the draft guidance. A flowchart could be developed using these points as criteria, which could then form the framework for justification of the clinical data requirement.

In summary, Guidant Corporation, Vascular Intervention Group, would like to reiterate that it is very important to continue open communication with the agency and appreciates the opportunity to provide comments. It is also important to establish an appropriate starting point for a discussion on the least burdensome means of obtaining safety and effectiveness information.

Respectfully,

Quint D. Berson on behry of Guidant Corporation

Vascular Intervention Group

###PACKING LIST ENCLOSED### SUIDANT CORPORATION P4531 YMF7 PD

HUU F

OR 9259

ACTUAL NCT: 2 LB5 MAN-NT

(800)227-9902

TO: DIV OF MGMT SYS & POLICY
FOA/ DOCKETS MAMAGEMENT BRANCH
5630 FISHERS LHNE RM 1061(HFA-305)

ROCKVILLE

MD 28552

4538 6099 6025 **Fed** Exx.

The second section of the second section secti

REF : JANET BENSON

PRIORITY OVERNIGHT

TUE

cad # 0090138 29NOV99

TRK# **4536 6099 6025** FORM 9201

Deliver by: 50,000 IH LA CONTROL OF SUPERIOR OF SUPERI

20852 - md- us

XAEDGA

